

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF THE HEISENBERG ALGEBRA

LUIGI ACCARDI AND ANDREAS BOUKAS

ABSTRACT. We study the non-trivial central extensions $CEHeis$ of the Heisenberg algebra $Heis$ recently constructed in [1]. We prove that a real form of $CEHeis$ is one the fifteen classified real four-dimensional solvable Lie algebras. We also show that $CEHeis$ can be realized (i) as a sub-Lie-algebra of the Schroedinger algebra and (ii) in terms of two independent copies of the canonical commutation relations (CCR). This gives a natural family of unitary representations of $CEHeis$ and allows an explicit determination of the associated group by exponentiation. In contrast with $Heis$, the group law for $CEHeis$ is given by nonlinear (quadratic) functions of the coordinates.

1. CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF THE HEISENBERG ALGEBRA

1.1. The $CEHeis$ *-Lie algebra. The generators a , $a^\dagger$ and h of the (one mode) Heisenberg algebra $Heis$ satisfy the Lie algebra commutation relations

$$[a, a^\dagger]_{Heis} = h \quad ; \quad [a, h]_{Heis} = [h, a^\dagger]_{Heis} = 0$$

and the duality relations

$$(1.1) \quad (a)^* = a^\dagger \ ; \ h^* = h$$

As shown in [1] this algebra admits non trivial central extensions. More precisely, all 2-cocycles ϕ on $Heis \times Heis$ are defined through a bilinear skew-symmetric extension of the functionals

$$(1.2) \quad \phi(a, a^\dagger) = \lambda \quad ; \quad \phi(h, a^\dagger) = z \quad ; \quad \phi(a, h) = \bar{z}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Each 2-cocycle (1.2) defines a central extension $CEHeis$ of $Heis$ and this central extension is trivial if and only if $z = 0$. Duality relations (1.1) still hold.

The centrally extended Heisenberg commutation relations (CECCR) are

$$(1.3) \quad [a, a^\dagger]_{CEHeis} = h + \lambda E \ ; \ [h, a^\dagger]_{CEHeis} = z E \ ; \ [a, h]_{CEHeis} = \bar{z} E$$

where $E \neq 0$ is the self-adjoint central element and where, here and in the following, all omitted commutators are assumed to be equal to zero.

Date: October 19, 2008.

Renaming $h + \lambda E$ by just h in (1.3) we obtain the equivalent commutation relations

$$(1.4) \quad [a, a^\dagger]_{CEHeis} = h \quad ; \quad [h, a^\dagger]_{CEHeis} = z E \quad ; \quad [a, h]_{CEHeis} = \bar{z} E$$

From now on we will use (1.4) and (1.1) as the defining commutation relations of $CEHeis$. For $z = 0$ we are back in the usual Heisenberg algebra. In the following we assume that $z \neq 0$.

Proposition 1. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ commutation relations (1.4) define a solvable four-dimensional $\ast$ -Lie algebra $CEHeis$ with generators $a, a^\dagger, h$ and E .*

Proof. Let $l_1 = a, l_2 = a^\dagger, l_3 = h, l_4 = E$. Using (1.4) we have that

$$[l_2, l_3]_{CEHeis} = -zE \ ; \ [l_3, l_1]_{CEHeis} = -\bar{z}E \ ; \ [l_1, l_2]_{CEHeis} = h$$

Hence

$$[l_1, [l_2, l_3]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} = [l_2, [l_3, l_1]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} = [l_3, [l_1, l_2]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} = 0$$

which implies that

$$[l_1, [l_2, l_3]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} + [l_2, [l_3, l_1]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} + [l_3, [l_1, l_2]_{CEHeis}]_{CEHeis} = 0$$

i.e. the Jacobi identity is satisfied. To show that $a, a^\dagger, h$ and E are linearly independent, suppose that

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha a + \beta a^\dagger + \gamma h + \delta E = 0$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking the commutator of (1.5) with $a^\dagger$ we find that

$$\alpha h + \gamma z E = 0$$

which, after taking its commutator with $a^\dagger$, implies that $\alpha z E = 0$. Since $z \neq 0$ and $E \neq 0$, it follows that $\alpha = 0$ and (1.5) is reduced to

$$(1.6) \quad \beta a^\dagger + \gamma h + \delta E = 0$$

Taking the commutator of (1.6) with h we find that $\beta z E = 0$. Hence $\beta = 0$ and (1.6) is reduced to

$$(1.7) \quad \gamma h + \delta E = 0$$

Taking the commutator of (1.7) with $a^\dagger$ we find that $\gamma z E = 0$. Hence $\gamma = 0$ and (1.7) is reduced to

$$\delta E = 0$$

which implies that $\delta = 0$ as well. Finally

$$CEHeis^{(1)} := [CEHeis, CEHeis] = \{\gamma h + \delta E : \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

and

$$CEHeis^{(2)} := [CEHeis^{(1)}, CEHeis^{(1)}] = \{0\}$$

Therefore $CEHeis$ is solvable. $\square$

1.2. Real form of $CEHeis$. For an operator X , in particular for a complex number, we denote by $Re X$ and $Im X$ its real and imaginary part defined respectively by

$$Re X := \frac{1}{2}(X + X^*) \quad ; \quad Im X := \frac{1}{2i}(X - X^*)$$

By construction, $X = Re X + i Im X$ and the right hand side is called the real decomposition of X .

Proposition 2. *In the above notations define p, q and H by*

$$(1.8) \quad a^\dagger = p + i q \quad ; \quad a = p - i q \quad ; \quad H = -ih/2$$

Then p, q, E are self-adjoint and H is skew-adjoint. Moreover p, q, E and H are the generators of a real four-dimensional solvable $$ -Lie algebra with central element E and commutation relations*

$$(1.9) \quad [p, q] = H \quad ; \quad [q, H] = c E \quad ; \quad [H, p] = b E$$

where b, c are (not simultaneously zero) real numbers given by

$$(1.10) \quad c = \frac{Re z}{2} \quad , \quad b = \frac{Im z}{2}$$

Conversely, let p, q, H, E be the generators (with p, q, E self-adjoint and H skew-adjoint) of a real four-dimensional solvable $$ -Lie algebra with central element E and commutation relations (1.9) where b and c are (not simultaneously zero) real numbers. Then, defining z by (1.10), the operators defined by (1.8) are the generators of the nontrivial central extension $CEHeis$ of the Heisenberg algebra defined by (1.4), (1.1).*

Proof. The proof consists of a simple algebraic verification. $\square$

1.3. Matching of the real form of $CEHeis$ with the real four-dimensional solvable Lie algebras classification list. Real four-dimensional solvable Lie algebras are fully classified. There are exactly fifteen isomorphism classes and they are listed, for example, in proposition 2.1 of [4] (see references therein for additional information). One of the fifteen Lie algebras that appear in the above mentioned classification list is the Lie algebra denoted by η_4 with generators e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and (non-zero) commutation relations

$$(1.11) \quad [e_4, e_1] = e_2 \quad ; \quad [e_4, e_2] = e_3$$

Corollary 1. *The real four-dimensional solvable Lie algebra described in proposition 2 can be identified to the algebra η_4 defined above.*

Proof. In the notation (1.10) if $b = 0$ and $c \neq 0$ then we may take

$$e_4 = q \quad ; \quad e_1 = p \quad ; \quad e_2 = -H \quad ; \quad e_3 = -c E$$

If $c = 0$ and $b \neq 0$ then we may take

$$e_4 = p \quad ; \quad e_1 = q \quad ; \quad e_2 = H \quad ; \quad e_3 = -b E$$

If both b and c are not equal to zero then replacing q by $\hat{q} = \alpha p + \beta q$ in (1.9), where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ are such that $\beta c - \alpha b = 0$, we obtain the commutation relations

$$[p, \hat{q}] = \beta H \quad ; \quad [\hat{q}, H] = 0 \quad ; \quad [H, p] = b E$$

which, letting $\hat{p} := \frac{p}{\beta}$ and $d := \frac{b}{\beta}$, become

$$[\hat{p}, \hat{q}] = H \quad ; \quad [\hat{q}, H] = 0 \quad ; \quad [H, \hat{p}] = d E$$

Denoting

$$e_4 = \hat{p} \quad ; \quad e_1 = \hat{q} \quad ; \quad e_2 = H \quad ; \quad e_3 = -d E$$

we obtain the commutation relations (1.11). □

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF $CEHeis$

2.1. Realization of $CEHeis$ as a proper sub-algebra of the Schroedinger algebra.

In this subsection we show how the generators $a, a^\dagger, h$ and E of $CEHeis$ can be expressed in terms of the generators of the Schroedinger algebra.

Definition 1. *The Schroedinger algebra is the six-dimensional $*$ -Lie algebra generated by $b, b^\dagger, b^2, b^{\dagger 2}, b^\dagger b$ and 1 where $b^\dagger, b$ and 1 are the generators of a Boson Heisenberg algebra with*

$$(2.1) \quad [b, b^\dagger] = 1 \quad ; \quad (b^\dagger)^* = b$$

Lemma 1. *In the notation of definition 1*

$$(i) \quad [b - b^\dagger, b + b^\dagger] = 2$$

$$(ii) \quad [(b - b^\dagger)^2, b + b^\dagger] = 4(b - b^\dagger), \text{ where } (b - b^\dagger)^2 = b^2 + b^{\dagger 2} - 2b^\dagger b - 1$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For an analytic function } f, \quad b f(b^\dagger) = f(b^\dagger) b + f'(b^\dagger)$$

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) is straight-forward. Part (iii) is proposition 2.1.1 of [2]. □

Theorem 1. (*Boson representation of CEHeis*) Let $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$ with $(b^\dagger)^* = b$.

(i) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$, then for arbitrary $\rho, r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r \neq 0$, define:

$$(2.2) \quad a := \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i\rho \right) (b - b^\dagger)^2 - \frac{i\bar{z}}{2r} (b + b^\dagger)$$

$$(2.3) \quad a^\dagger := \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i\rho \right) (b - b^\dagger)^2 + \frac{iz}{2r} (b + b^\dagger)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad h := ir(b^\dagger - b)$$

The quadruple $\{a^+, a, h, E = 1\}$ satisfies the commutation relations (1.4) and the duality relations (1.1) of CEHeis.

(ii) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$, then for arbitrary $\rho, r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r \neq 0$, define

$$(2.5) \quad a := \left(\rho - \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16r^2} \right) (b - b^\dagger)^2 + r(b + b^\dagger)$$

$$(2.6) \quad a^\dagger := \left(\rho + \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16r^2} \right) (b - b^\dagger)^2 + r(b + b^\dagger)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad h := \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{2r} (b^\dagger - b)$$

The quadruple $\{a^+, a, h, E = 1\}$ satisfies the commutation relations (1.4) and the duality relations (1.1) of CEHeis.

Proof. To prove (i), using lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a, a^\dagger] &= \\ &= \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i\rho \right) \frac{iz}{2r} [(b - b^\dagger)^2, b + b^\dagger] - \frac{i\bar{z}}{2r} \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i\rho \right) [b + b^\dagger, (b - b^\dagger)^2] = \\ &= \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i\rho \right) \frac{iz}{2r} 4(b - b^\dagger) - \frac{i\bar{z}}{2r} \left(\frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i\rho \right) 4(b^\dagger - b) = -ir(b - b^\dagger) = h \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$[a, h] = -\frac{i\bar{z}}{2r} (-ir) [b + b^\dagger, b - b^\dagger] = -\frac{\bar{z}r}{2r} (-2) = \bar{z}$$

and

$$[h, a^\dagger] = (-i r) \frac{i z}{2 r} [b - b^\dagger, b + b^\dagger] = \frac{z r}{2 r} 2 = z$$

Clearly $(a^\dagger)^* = a$ and $h^* = h$. The proof of (ii) is similar. □

Definition 2. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ let $y(\lambda) = e^{\lambda b}$. The Heisenberg Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ is the Hilbert space completion of the linear span of the exponential vectors $\{y(\lambda); \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ with respect to the inner product

$$(2.8) \quad \langle y(\lambda), y(\mu) \rangle = e^{\bar{\lambda} \mu}$$

It is well known that

$$(2.9) \quad b y(\lambda) = \lambda y(\lambda)$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad b^\dagger y(\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon)$$

Therefore, for non-negative integers n, k

$$(2.11) \quad b^k y(\lambda) = \lambda^k y(\lambda)$$

$$(2.12) \quad b^{\dagger n} y(\lambda) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \epsilon^n} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon)$$

and in general

$$(2.13) \quad b^{\dagger n} b^k y(\lambda) = \lambda^k \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \epsilon^n} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon)$$

Theorem 2. (Boson Fock representation of CEHeis) In the notation of theorem 1 and definition 2:

(i) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ then

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} a y(\lambda) &= \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i \rho \right) (\lambda^2 - 1) - \frac{i \bar{z}}{2 r} \lambda \right) y(\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i \rho \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} - \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} + i \rho \right) 2 \lambda + \frac{i \bar{z}}{2 r} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) y(\lambda + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} a^\dagger y(\lambda) &= \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i \rho \right) (\lambda^2 - 1) + \frac{i z}{2 r} \lambda \right) y(\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i \rho \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} - \left(\left(\frac{4 \rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{4 \operatorname{Re} z} - i \rho \right) 2 \lambda - \frac{i z}{2 r} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) y(\lambda + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.16) \quad h y(\lambda) = i r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon) - \lambda y(\lambda) \right)$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad E y(\lambda) = y(\lambda)$$

(ii) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ then

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} a y(\lambda) &= \left(\left(\rho - \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) (\lambda^2 - 1) + r \lambda \right) y(\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\left(\rho - \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} + \left(r - \left(\rho - \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) 2 \lambda \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) y(\lambda + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} a^\dagger y(\lambda) &= \left(\left(\rho + \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) (\lambda^2 - 1) + r \lambda \right) y(\lambda) \\ &+ \left(\left(\rho + \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} + \left(r - \left(\rho + \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{16 r^2} \right) 2 \lambda \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) y(\lambda + \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.20) \quad h y(\lambda) = \frac{i \operatorname{Im} z}{2 r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} y(\lambda + \epsilon) - \lambda y(\lambda) \right)$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad E y(\lambda) = y(\lambda)$$

Proof. The proof follows from theorem 1 and (2.9)-(2.13). □

2.2. Random variables in $CEHeis$. Self-adjoint operators X on the Heisenberg Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ correspond to classical random variables with moment generating function $\langle \Phi, e^{sX} \Phi \rangle$ where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and Φ is the Heisenberg Fock space cyclic vacuum vector such that $b\Phi = 0$. In this subsection we compute the moment generating function of the self-adjoint operator $X = a + a^\dagger + h$.

Lemma 2. (*Splitting formula*) Let $L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2Ls + 1 > 0$

$$e^{s(Lb^2 + Lb^{\dagger 2} - 2Lb^\dagger b - L + M b + N b^\dagger)} \Phi = e^{w_1(s)b^{\dagger 2}} e^{w_2(s)b^\dagger} e^{w_3(s)} \Phi$$

where

$$(2.22) \quad w_1(s) = \frac{L s}{2 L s + 1}$$

$$(2.23) \quad w_2(s) = \frac{L (M + N) s^2 + N s}{2 L s + 1}$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad w_3(s) = \frac{(M + N)^2 (L^2 s^4 + 2 L s^3) + 3 M N s^2}{6 (2 L s + 1)} - \frac{\ln (2 L s + 1)}{2}$$

Proof. We will use the differential method of proposition 4.1.1, chapter 1 of [2]. Let

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} F(s) &= e^{s(L b^2 + L b^{\dagger 2} - 2 L b^{\dagger} b - L + M b + N b^{\dagger})} \Phi \\ &= e^{w_1(s) b^{\dagger 2}} e^{w_2(s) b^{\dagger}} e^{w_3(s)} \Phi \\ (\text{since } b^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger 2} \text{ and } 1 \text{ commute}) &= e^{w_1(s) b^{\dagger 2} + w_2(s) b^{\dagger} + w_3(s)} \Phi \end{aligned}$$

where w_1, w_2, w_3 are scalar-valued functions with $w_1(0) = w_2(0) = w_3(0) = 0$. Then

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(s) = (w_1(s) b^{\dagger 2} + w_2(s) b^{\dagger} + w_3(s)) F(s)$$

and also

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(s) &= (L b^2 + L b^{\dagger 2} - 2 L b^{\dagger} b - L + M b + N b^{\dagger}) F(s) \\ &= (L b^2 + L b^{\dagger 2} - 2 L b^{\dagger} b - L + M b + N b^{\dagger}) e^{w_1(s) b^{\dagger 2} + w_2(s) b^{\dagger} + w_3(s)} \Phi \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 1 (iii) with $f(b^{\dagger}) = e^{w_1(s) b^{\dagger 2} + w_2(s) b^{\dagger} + w_3(s)}$ and the fact that $b \Phi = 0$ we find that

$$b F(s) = b f(b^{\dagger}) \Phi = f'(b^{\dagger}) \Phi = (2 w_1(s) b^{\dagger} + w_2(s)) f(b^{\dagger}) \Phi = (2 w_1(s) b^{\dagger} + w_2(s)) F(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 F(s) &= b (2 w_1(s) b^{\dagger} + w_2(s)) F(s) = (2 w_1(s) (1 + b^{\dagger} b) + w_2(s) b) F(s) \\ &= (2 w_1(s) + w_2(s)^2 + 4 w_1(s) w_2(s) b^{\dagger} + 4 w_1(s)^2 b^{\dagger 2}) F(s) \end{aligned}$$

and so (2.27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.28) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(s) = & \{2L w_1(s) + L w_2(s)^2 - L + M w_2(s) \\
& + (4L w_1(s) w_2(s) - 2L w_2(s) + 2M w_1(s) + N) b^\dagger \\
& + (4L w_1(s)^2 + L - 4L w_1(s)) b^{\dagger 2}\} F(s)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.26) and (2.28), after equating coefficients of 1, $b^\dagger$ and $b^{\dagger 2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1'(s) &= 4L w_1(s)^2 - 4L w_1(s) + L \text{ (Riccati differential equation)} \\
w_2'(s) &= (4L w_1(s) - 2L) w_2(s) + 2M w_1(s) + N \text{ (Linear differential equation)} \\
w_3'(s) &= 2L w_1(s) + L w_2(s)^2 - L + M w_2(s)
\end{aligned}$$

with $w_1(0) = w_2(0) = w_3(0) = 0$. Therefore w_1, w_2 and w_3 are given by (2.22)-(2.24). □

Remark 1.

For $L \neq 0$ the Riccati equation

$$w_1'(s) = 4L w_1(s)^2 - 4L w_1(s) + L$$

appearing in the proof of lemma 2 can be put in the canonical form

$$V'(s) = 1 + 2\alpha V(s) + \beta V(s)^2$$

of the theory of Bernoulli systems of chapters 5 and 6 of [2], where $V(s) = \frac{w_1(s)}{L}$, $\alpha = -2L$ and $\beta = 4L^2$. Then $\delta^2 := \alpha^2 - \beta = 0$ which is characteristic of exponential and Gaussian systems ([2], Proposition 5.3.2). For $L = 0$ we obtain classical Brownian motion (see proposition 3 below).

Proposition 3. (*Moment Generating Function*) For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2Ls + 1 > 0$

$$(2.29) \quad \langle \Phi, e^{s(a+a^\dagger+h)} \Phi \rangle = (2Ls + 1)^{-1/2} e^{\frac{(M+N)^2(L^2s^4 + 2Ls^3) + 3MN s^2}{6(2Ls+1)}}$$

where in the notation of theorem 1

(i) if $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \frac{4\rho \operatorname{Im} z - r^2}{2 \operatorname{Re} z} \\
M &= -\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{r} + i r\right) \\
N &= -\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{r} - i r\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L &= 2\rho \\ M &= 2r - i \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{2r} \\ N &= 2r + i \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{2r} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In both cases (i) and (ii) we find that

$$a + a^\dagger + h = Lb^2 + Lb^{\dagger 2} - 2Lb^\dagger b - L + Mb + Nb^\dagger$$

Therefore, in the notation of lemma 2 using $(e^{f(b^\dagger)})^* = e^{\bar{f}(b)}$ and the fact that for all scalars λ we have that $e^{\lambda b} \Phi = \Phi$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi, e^{s(a+a^\dagger+h)} \Phi \rangle &= \langle \Phi, e^{s(Lb^2 + Lb^{\dagger 2} - 2Lb^\dagger b - L + Mb + Nb^\dagger)} \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi, e^{w_3(s)} \Phi \rangle \\ &= (2Ls + 1)^{-1/2} e^{\frac{(M+N)^2 (L^2 s^4 + 2Ls^3) + 3MN s^2}{6(2Ls+1)}} \langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle \\ &= (2Ls + 1)^{-1/2} e^{\frac{(M+N)^2 (L^2 s^4 + 2Ls^3) + 3MN s^2}{6(2Ls+1)}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.

If $L = 0$ (corresponding to $\rho \operatorname{Im} z > 0$ and $r^2 = 4\rho \operatorname{Im} z$ in the case when $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ and to $\rho = 0$ in the case when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$) then (2.29) becomes

$$(2.30) \quad \langle \Phi, e^{s(a+a^\dagger+h)} \Phi \rangle = e^{\frac{MN s^2}{2}} = \begin{cases} e^{\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r^2}{2}\right) s^2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z \neq 0 \\ e^{\left(2r^2 + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}{8r^2}\right) s^2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z = 0 \end{cases}$$

which means that $a + a^\dagger + h$ is a Gaussian random variable.

For $L \neq 0$ the term $(2Ls + 1)^{-1/2}$ appearing in (2.29) is the moment generating function of a gamma random variable.

2.3. Representation of $CEHeis$ in terms of two independent CCR copies.

Theorem 3. For $j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ let $[q_j, p_k] = \frac{i}{2} \delta_{j,k}$ and $[q_j, q_k] = [p_j, p_k] = 0$ with $p_j^* = p_j$, $q_j^* = q_j$ and $i^2 = -1$.

(i) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$ then

$$(2.31) \quad a := i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 - \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} q_2^2$$

$$(2.32) \quad a^\dagger := -i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 + \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} q_2^2$$

$$(2.33) \quad h := -2(p_1 + q_2)$$

and $E := 1$ satisfy the commutation relations (1.4) and the duality relations (1.1) of $CEHeis$.

(ii) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$ then for arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(2.34) \quad a := c p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 + \left(r - \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right) q_2^2$$

$$(2.35) \quad a^\dagger := \bar{c} p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 + \left(r + \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right) q_2^2$$

$$(2.36) \quad h := -2 q_2$$

and $E := 1$ satisfy the commutation relations (1.4) and the duality relations (1.1) of $CEHeis$.

(iii) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} z = 0$ then for arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(2.37) \quad a := i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} + i r\right) p_1^2 + c q_2^2$$

$$(2.38) \quad a^\dagger := -i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} - i r\right) p_1^2 + \bar{c} q_2^2$$

$$(2.39) \quad h := -2 p_1$$

and $E := 1$ satisfy the commutation relations (1.4) and the duality relations (1.1) of $CEHeis$.

Proof. (i) It is easy to see that $[q_j, p_j^2] = i p_j$, $[q_j^2, p_j] = i q_j$ and $[q_j^2, p_j^2] = 2 i p_j q_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [a, a^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} i \operatorname{Re} z [q_1, p_1^2] + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} (-i \operatorname{Re} z) [p_1^2, q_1] - \operatorname{Im} z \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} [p_2, q_2^2] - \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} (-\operatorname{Im} z) [q_2^2, p_2] \\ &= i(i p_1) - i(-i p_1) - i(-i q_2) + i(i q_2) \\ &= -2(p_1 + q_2) = h \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a, h] &= [i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 - \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} q_2^2, -2(p_1 + q_2)] \\ &= i \operatorname{Re} z (-2)[q_1, p_1] - \operatorname{Im} z (-2)[p_2, q_2] \\ &= i \operatorname{Re} z (-2) \left(\frac{i}{2}\right) - \operatorname{Im} z (-2) \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} z - i \operatorname{Im} z = \bar{z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[h, a^\dagger] &= [-2(p_1 + q_2), -i \operatorname{Re} z q_1 + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} p_1^2 - \operatorname{Im} z p_2 + \frac{i}{\operatorname{Im} z} q_2^2] \\
&= 2i \operatorname{Re} z [p_1, q_1] - 2(-\operatorname{Im} z) [q_2, p_2] \\
&= 2i \operatorname{Re} z \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) + 2\operatorname{Im} z \frac{i}{2} \\
&= \operatorname{Re} z + i \operatorname{Im} z = z
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $(a^\dagger)^* = a$ and $h^* = h$. The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar. □

Remark 3.

In the notation of theorem 3 we may take

$$(2.40) \quad q_1 = \frac{b_1 + b_1^\dagger}{2} \quad ; \quad p_1 = \frac{i(b_1^\dagger - b_1)}{2}$$

and

$$(2.41) \quad q_2 = \frac{b_2 + b_2^\dagger}{2} \quad ; \quad p_2 = \frac{i(b_2^\dagger - b_2)}{2}$$

where

$$(2.42) \quad [b_1, b_1^\dagger] = [b_2, b_2^\dagger] = 1$$

and

$$(2.43) \quad [b_1^\dagger, b_2^\dagger] = [b_1, b_2] = [b_1, b_2^\dagger] = [b_1^\dagger, b_2] = 0$$

In that case theorem 3 would extend to the product of the moment generating functions of two independent random variables defined in terms of the generators of two mutually commuting Schroedinger algebras.

3. THE CENTRALLY EXTENDED HEISENBERG GROUP

Lemma 3. *For all $X, Y \in \operatorname{span}\{a, a^\dagger, h, E\}$*

$$e^{X+Y} = e^X e^Y e^{-\frac{1}{2}[X,Y]} e^{\frac{1}{6}(2[Y,[X,Y]] + [X,[X,Y]])}$$

Proof. This is a special case of the general Zassenhaus formula (converse of the BCH formula, see for example [5] and [3]). In fact, using (1.4) we see that

$$(3.1) \quad [CEHeis, CEHeis^{(1)}] = \mathbb{C} E$$

i.e. all triple commutators of elements of $\text{span}\{a, a^\dagger, h, E\}$ are in the center. $\square$

Lemma 4. For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(3.2) \quad e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu a^\dagger} = e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu h} e^{\frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\mu z - \lambda \bar{z})}$$

$$(3.3) \quad a e^{\mu a^\dagger} = e^{\mu a^\dagger} \left(a + \mu h + \frac{\mu^2 z}{2} \right)$$

Proof. By lemma 3 with $X = \mu a^\dagger$ and $Y = \lambda a$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mu a^\dagger + \lambda a} &= e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} [\mu a^\dagger, \lambda a]} e^{\frac{1}{6} (2 [\lambda a, [\mu a^\dagger, \lambda a]] + [\mu a^\dagger, [\mu a^\dagger, \lambda a]])} \\ &= e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{-\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} [a^\dagger, a]} e^{\frac{1}{6} (2 \lambda^2 \mu [a, [a^\dagger, a]] + \mu^2 \lambda [a^\dagger, [a^\dagger, a]])} \\ &= e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} h} e^{\frac{1}{6} (-2 \lambda^2 \mu \bar{z} + \mu^2 \lambda z)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $X = \lambda a$ and $Y = \mu a^\dagger$ we obtain

$$e^{\lambda a + \mu a^\dagger} = e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{-\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} h} e^{\frac{1}{6} (-2 \lambda \mu^2 z + \mu \lambda^2 \bar{z})}$$

Therefore

$$e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} h} e^{\frac{1}{6} (-2 \lambda^2 \mu \bar{z} + \mu^2 \lambda z)} = e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{-\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} h} e^{\frac{1}{6} (-2 \lambda \mu^2 z + \mu \lambda^2 \bar{z})}$$

and so, multiplying both sides from the right by $e^{\frac{\mu \lambda}{2} h} e^{-\frac{1}{6} (-2 \lambda \mu^2 z + \mu \lambda^2 \bar{z})}$ we have that

$$e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu a^\dagger} = e^{\mu a^\dagger} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu h} e^{\frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\mu z - \lambda \bar{z})}$$

which, after taking $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} |_{\lambda=0}$ of both sides implies

$$a e^{\mu a^\dagger} = e^{\mu a^\dagger} \left(a + \mu h + \frac{\mu^2 z}{2} \right)$$

$\square$

Lemma 5. Let x , D and H be three operators satisfying the Heisenberg commutation relations

$$(3.4) \quad [D, x]_{Heis} = H, \quad [D, H]_{Heis} = [x, H]_{Heis} = 0$$

Then, for all $s, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(3.5) \quad e^{sD} e^{bx} = e^{bx} e^{sD} e^{bsH}$$

Proof. The result is well known. A proof can be found in [2]. $\square$

Lemma 6. *For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$(3.6) \quad e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu h} = e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu \bar{z}}$$

$$(3.7) \quad e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} = e^{\lambda a^\dagger} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda \mu z}$$

$$(3.8) \quad a e^{\mu h} = e^{\mu h} (a + \mu \bar{z})$$

$$(3.9) \quad h e^{\lambda a^\dagger} = e^{\lambda a^\dagger} (h + \lambda z)$$

Proof. By commutation relations (1.3), a , h and $\bar{z}$ are a copy of the Heisenberg algebra. Therefore, letting $D = a$, $x = h$ and $H = \bar{z}$ in lemma 5 we find that

$$e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu h} = e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu \bar{z}}$$

which, after taking adjoints and replacing $\bar{\mu}$ by μ and $\bar{\lambda}$ by λ , yields

$$e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} = e^{\lambda a^\dagger} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda \mu z}$$

and so

$$a e^{\mu h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} e^{\lambda a} e^{\mu h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a} e^{\lambda \mu \bar{z}} = e^{\mu h} (a + \mu \bar{z})$$

and

$$h e^{\lambda a^\dagger} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Big|_{\mu=0} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Big|_{\mu=0} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} e^{\mu h} e^{\lambda \mu z} = e^{\lambda a^\dagger} (h + \lambda z)$$

□

Corollary 2. *(Group Law) For $u, v, w, y \in \mathbb{C}$ define*

$$(3.10) \quad g(u, v, w, y) := e^{u a^\dagger} e^{v h} e^{w a} e^{y E}$$

Then the family of operators of the form (3.10) is a group with group law given by

$$(3.11) \quad g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) g(A, B, C, D) =$$

$$= g(\alpha + A, \beta + B + \gamma A, \gamma + C, \left(\frac{\gamma A^2}{2} + \beta A \right) z + \left(\frac{\gamma^2 A}{2} + \gamma B \right) \bar{z} + \delta + D)$$

The family of operators of the form (3.10) with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$ is a sub-group. The group $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{C}$ endowed with the composition law:

$$(3.12) \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) (A, B, C, D) =$$

$$\left(\alpha + A, \beta + B + \gamma A, \gamma + C, \left(\frac{\gamma A^2}{2} + \beta A \right) z + \left(\frac{\gamma^2 A}{2} + \gamma B \right) \bar{z} + \delta + D \right)$$

is called the **centrally extended Heisenberg group**.

Proof. Using lemmas 4 and 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) g(A, B, C, D) &= e^{\alpha a^\dagger} e^{\beta h} e^{\gamma a} e^{A a^\dagger} e^{B h} e^{C a} e^{(\delta + D)E} \\ &= e^{\alpha a^\dagger} e^{\beta h} e^{A a^\dagger} e^{\gamma a} e^{\gamma A h} e^{\frac{\gamma A}{2} (A z - \gamma \bar{z})} e^{B h} e^{C a} e^{(\delta + D)E} \\ &= e^{\alpha a^\dagger} e^{\beta h} e^{A a^\dagger} e^{\gamma a} e^{(\gamma A + B) h} e^{C a} e^{(\delta + D + \frac{\gamma A}{2} (A z - \gamma \bar{z}))E} \\ &= e^{\alpha a^\dagger} e^{A a^\dagger} e^{\beta h} e^{\beta A z} e^{(\gamma A + B) h} e^{\gamma a} e^{\gamma (\gamma A + B) \bar{z}} e^{C a} e^{(\delta + D + \frac{\gamma A}{2} (A z - \gamma \bar{z}))E} \\ &= e^{(\alpha + A) a^\dagger} e^{(\beta + B + \gamma A) h} e^{(\gamma + C) a} e^{\left\{ \left(\frac{\gamma A^2}{2} + \beta A \right) z + \left(\frac{\gamma^2 A}{2} + \gamma B \right) \bar{z} + \delta + D \right\} E} \\ &= g \left(\alpha + A, \beta + B + \gamma A, \gamma + C, \left(\frac{\gamma A^2}{2} + \beta A \right) z + \left(\frac{\gamma^2 A}{2} + \gamma B \right) \bar{z} + \delta + D \right) \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Accardi, L., Boukas, A.: Central extensions of white noise $\ast$ -Lie algebras, submitted (2008).
- [2] Feinsilver, P. J., Schott, R.: *Algebraic structures and operator calculus. Volumes I and III*, Kluwer, 1993.
- [3] Fuchs, J., Schweigert C. : *Symmetries, Lie Algebras and Representations (A graduate course for physicists)*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [4] Ovando, G.: Four dimensional symplectic Lie algebras, *Beitrage Algebra Geom.* 47 (2006), no. 2, 419–434.
- [5] Suzuki, M.: On the convergence of exponential operators—the Zassenhaus formula, BCH formula and systematic approximants, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 57 (1997), no. 3, 193–200.

CENTRO VITO VOLTERRA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA COLUMBIA 2, 00133 ROMA, ITALY

E-mail address: accardi@volterra.mat.uniroma2.it

URL: <http://volterra.mat.uniroma2.it>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, AMERICAN COLLEGE OF GREECE, AGHIA PARASKEVI, ATHENS 15342, GREECE

E-mail address: andreasboukas@acgmail.gr